

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RESPONSE

Applicant has carefully reviewed the above identified Application in view of the Office Action of June 19, 2007. Applicant has amended the claims to correctly identify the claim numbers and the dependency of the dependent claims and to correct several punctuation errors. No claims have been added. No claims have been cancelled. The claims now in this application are claims 1 to 63, inclusive of which eight are independent claims and fifty-four are dependent claims. Since, on filing, Applicant paid for a total of 62 claims, a check in the amount of \$25.00 for the extra claim is enclosed.

In the above identified Office Action, Examining Attorney correctly pointed out that there were two claims numbered 32 and Examining Attorney has renumbered the claims starting with the second claim 32 as claims 33 to 66. Applicant respectfully points out to Examining Attorney that, additionally, in the original numbered claims there was not a claim numbered 47 and there were two claims numbered 57. In addition, there may be a duplicate of page 23 in the application as filed. Applicant apologizes for the inconsistency in the as filed application. In order that the examination of Applicant's invention may be consistent, the following Table 1 shows the original claim numbers and the corrected claim numbers and corrected dependency:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**TABLE 1**

ORIGINAL CLAIM NUMBER AND DEPENDENCY	NEW CLAIM NUMBER AND DEPENDENCY	ORIGINAL CLAIM NUMBER AND DEPENDENCY	NEW CLAIM NUMBER AND DEPENDENCY
1 INDEPENDENT	1 INDEPENDENT	33 DEP/31	34 DEP/32
2 DEP/1	2 DEP/1	34 DEP/31	35 DEP/32
3 DEP/2	3 DEP/2	35 DEP/31	36 DEP/32
4 INDEPENDENT	4 INDEPENDENT	36 DEP/31	37 DEP/32
5 INDEPENDENT	5 INDEPENDENT	37 DEP/31	38 DEP/32
6 DEP/ (LEFT BLANK)	6 DEP/5	38 DEP/31	39 DEP/32
7 DEP/5	7 DEP/5	39 DEP/31	40 DEP/32
8 DEP/5	8 DEP/5	40 DEP/31	41 DEP/32
9 DEP/5	9 DEP/5	41 INDEPENDENT	42 INDEPENDENT
10 DEP/5	10 DEP/5	42 DEP/41	43 DEP/42
11 DEP/5	11 DEP/5	43 INDEPENDENT	44 INDEPENDENT
12 DEP/5	12 DEP/5	44 DEP/43	45 DEP/44
13 DEP/12	13 DEP/12	45 DEP/43	46 DEP/44
14 DEP/13	14 DEP/13	46 DEP/43	47 DEP/44
15 DEP/13	15 DEP/13	48 DEP/43	48 DEP/44
16 DEP/13	16 DEP/13	49 INDEPENDENT	49 INDEPENDENT
17 DEP/1	17 DEP/1	50 DEP/49	50 DEP/49
18 DEP/1	18 DEP/1	51 DEP/49	51 DEP/49
19 DEP/1	19 DEP/1	52 DEP/49	52 DEP/49
20 DEP/1	20 DEP/1	53 DEP/49	53 DEP/49
21 DEP/1	21 DEP/1	54 DEP/49	54 DEP/50
22 DEP/1	22 DEP/1	55 DEP/49	55 DEP/50
23 DEP/4	23 DEP/4	56 DEP/49	56 DEP/49
24 DEP/4	24 DEP/4	57 INDEPENDENT	57 INDEPENDENT
25 DEP/4	25 DEP/4	57 DEP/41	58 DEP/57
26 DEP/4	26 DEP/4	58 DEP/57	59 DEP/57
27 DEP/4	27 DEP/4	59 DEP/57	60 DEP/57
28 DEP/4	28 DEP/4	60 DEP/57	61 DEP/57
29 DEP/4	29 DEP/4	61 DEP/57	62 DEP/57

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

30 DEP/4	30 DEP/4	62 DEP/43	63 DEP/44
31 DEP/4	31 DEP/4		
32 INDEPENDENT	32 INDEPENDENT		
32 DEP/31	33 DEP/32		

Thus, the claims in this application after the amending of the claim numbers and the dependency thereof, as required, as shown in Table 1, are claims 1 to 63, inclusive. Of these, there are eight independent and 55 dependent claims. Claims 1 to 41 inclusive are apparatus claims directed to the construction module and claims 42 to 63 are method claims directed to the method of fabricating the construction module. These claim numbers are used to define the particular claims under discussion as set forth below.

In the above identified Office Action Examiner has made both a requirement for restriction and a requirement for election of species.

In the requirement for restriction, Examining Attorney contends that the construction module as claimed in claims 1 to 41 is an independent and distinct invention from the method of constructing the construction module as claimed in claims 42 to 63. Examining Attorney contends that the construction module may be made by a process other than the use of a heat reactive sealant.

Provisionally and without prejudice, Applicant selects the invention of the construction module of claims 1 to 41.

Examining Attorney has required an election of species between the species of Figure 1, the species of Figures 2a and 2b, the species of Figure 4 and the species of Figure 5.


Provisionally and without prejudice, Applicant elects the species of Figure 1.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant respectfully submits that the following claims are readable upon the above elected species: claims 32 to 41, inclusive.

Applicant reserves the right to file divisional and/or continuation Applications for the non-elected species and non-elected invention.

Respectfully submitted,



Don Finkelstein
Attorney for Applicant
Date: June 29, 2007

Don B. Finkelstein, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF DON FINKELSTEIN
3858 Carson Street, Suite 216
Torrance, CA 90503
Phone: (310) 543 0070
Fax: (310) 543 7570